	AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			CODE	PAGE	OF PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHA	SE REQUISITION NUMBE	R 5. PROJE	CT NUMBE	R (If applicable)
P0001	See block 16C	1000155561				
6. ISSUED BY CODE	SPE4A7	7. ADMINISTERED BY	(If other than Item 6)	CODE	SPE4	A7
DLA Aviation		Same as block 6	1			
6090 Strathmore Road			,			
Richmond VA 23297						
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (Number, street, county, State and ZIP Cod		de)	(X) 9A. AMENDM	IENT OF SOL		NUMBER
			SPE4A7-2			
			9B. DATED (S 2/8/2023	EETTEM 11)		
					ONTRACT/O	RDER NUMBE
			10B. DATED	SEE ITEM 13)		
	ACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITE	M ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS OF	SOLICITATIONS			
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set for	orth in Item 14. The hour and d	ate specified for receipt of	Offers is extende	d. 🗙 is	not extende	ed.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to	the hour and date specified in	the solicitation or as amen	ded, by one of the follow	ving methods:		
(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning1	-	t; (b) By acknowledging red				
or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE REC						
by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer a						
communication makes reference to the solicitation and this	-			, I		
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If require	red)					
	PPLIES ONLY TO MOI					
CHECK ONE A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PU					E CONTRAC	TORDER
NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.						
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN				as changes in	paying office	e,
			5.100(b).			
	IS ENTERED INTO PURSUAN	IT TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification an	nd authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not	is required to sign this o	locument and return	сор	ies to the is	suing offici	ce.
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Or	rganized by UCF section headi	ngs, including solicitation/c	ontract subject matter w	here feasible.)	)	
See continuation page text						
See continuation page text.						
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the de	ocument referenced in Item 9A					ect.
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE C	OF CONTRACTING OF	FICER (Type o	or print)	
		Jason K. Elliott, C	ontracting Office	r, DLA Avi	ation	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR 15C. DATE SIGN		16B. UNITED STATES O	F AMERICA		16C. I	DATE SIGNED
		$ \land \iota$	Elik			
	1	( la a K			1	
		less 1	, and the			
(Signature of person authorized to sign)			ure of Contracting Office	r)		/2023

## The following updates have been incorporated into this solicitation.

- (1) A column has been created in RFP Attachment 1 "Core List of Items (Market Basket)" to reflect kitting Estimated Annual Demand (EAD). The total EAD has also been updated to include this kitting demand.
- (2) RFP Attachment 2 "Schedule of Items (SOI)" has been updated to reflect potential VSI.
- (3) RFP Attachment 5 "Office and Storage Space and BSL Locations" webpage link has been fixed and is now operational.
- (4) The "Sourcing Status" on page 4 of the RFP has been updated to state that "DSI may convert to VSI throughout the life of the contract if approved by the DLA Small Business Office and if a compelling business case is made to show material cost savings to the Navy compared to the DLA Standard Unit Price (SUP)".
- (5) Appendix B of the PWS has been updated to reflect on-site staffing requirements for all satellite locations.
- (6) As this solicitation is not for award of a service contract, FAR clauses 52.204-14, "Service Contract Reporting Requirements (OCT 2016) (Pub. L. 111-117, section 743 of Div. C)" and 52.204-15, "Service Contract Reporting Requirements for Indefinite-Delivery Contracts (OCT 2016) (Pub. L. 111- 117, section 743 of Div. C)" is removed from the RFP.
- (7) The date referenced with FAR clause 52.232-39 "Unenforceability of Unauthorized Obligations" is updated to reflect JUN 2013.
- (8) H-903(b)(2)(i) "Deletion of Items" is updated to reflect "Material acquired by the Contractor, but later deleted from the SOI through no fault of the Contractor, shall be considered a partial termination for convenience under FAR 52.249-2 "Termination for Convenience of the Government (Fixed-Price) (APR 2012)" and subject to the Excess Contract Inventory (DLA AVN IPV, OCT 2022) clause."
- (9) The first sentence of section L.7.5 is updated to state, "Proposal Volumes 2, 3 and 4 shall begin with an executive summary".
- (10) Referencing PWS Appendix B Customer Group A4 and A5, Column F is updated to reflect "Daily support from CP M-F, 1<sup>st</sup> shift\*".
- (11) The reference to "CAI" has been removed from PWS sections 4.2(a) and the seventh bullet of 5.0.
- (12) As the instructions for the associated DFARS clauses (252.225-7001 "Buy American and Balance of Payments Program (JUN 2022)" and 252.225-7021 "Trade Agreements (DEC 2022)") state to include the DFARS clauses instead of the FAR clauses, FAR 52.225-1 "Buy American-Supplies (NOV 2021)" and FAR 52.225-5 "Trade Agreements (OCT 2019)" are removed from the solicitation.
- (13) DFARS 252.225-7001 "Buy American and Balance of Payments Program (JUN 2022)" is hereby removed from the solicitation. DFARS 252.225-7021 "Trade Agreements (DEC 2022)" applies and remains in the solicitation.
- (14) PWS section 10.6 is corrected from "fifteen (15) days after date of award" to "thirty (30) days after date of award".

- (15) The Point of Contact (POC) listed under FAR 52.233-02 "Service of Protest (SEP 2006)" is revised from "Carl Allen" to "Jessica Ozmore".
- (16) The physical address listed under FAR 52.233-02 "Service of Protest (SEP 2006)" is revised from "8000 Jefferson Davis Highway Rich, VA 23297" to "6090 Strathmore Rd. Richmond, VA 23237".
- (17) Section L.10.1.10 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.7.
- (18) Section L.10.2.2 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (19) Section L.10.2.3 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (20) Section L.10.2.4 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (21) Section L.10.2.6 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (22) Section L.10.2.8 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (23) Section L.10.2.9 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (24) Section L.10.3.6 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.
- (25) Section M.4.2.1.10 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.7.
- (26) Section M.4.2.2.2 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (27) Section M.4.2.2.3 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (28) Section M.4.2.2.4 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (29) Section M.4.2.2.6 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (30) Section M.4.2.2.8 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (31) Section M.4.2.2.9 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.1.
- (32) Section M.4.2.3.6 of the RFP has been revised to reference the requirements of PWS section 2.4.
- (33) Section M.4.2.5 "Technical/Management Risk Rating" has been revised to number M.4.2.6.
- (34) There are 19 Market Basket NSNs that have had a Unit of Issue (UI) change. See the below table for these updates. RFP Attachment 1 "Core List of Items (Market Basket)"

and Attachment 2 "Schedule of Items (SOI)" have also been updated to reflect these changes.

NSN	Previous UI	Updated UI
5330011290659	EA	AY
5305010595911	EA	PG
5305009265655	EA	PG
5305010702969	EA	PG
5305008911782	EA	PG
5305008242016	EA	PG
5305013357411	EA	PG
5305008427492	EA	PG
5305009805252	EA	PG
5340001409593	EA	PG
5305013337449	EA	PG
5305008397091	EA	PG
5305012697816	EA	PG
5305009037739	EA	PG
5305011736806	EA	PG
5305011767383	EA	PG
5305007052385	EA	PG
5305008242024	EA	PG
5305011605701	EA	PG

## **Questions and Answers**

- (1) Will the USG provide approximate square footage requirements for contractor provided distribution facility supporting FRC-E (Cherry Point)?
   This is up to the contractor. The contractor should be able to determine the required amount of square footage based on the site requisition/demand data that has already been provided.
- (2) Is the contactor required to provide forecast results to DLA? Yes, but only if or when requested during contract performance.
- (3) Who is responsible for establishing authorized quantity (AQ) for bin locations? The Navy/FRC customer.
- (4) We observed rusted/corroded bolts in FRC-SE bin locations. Who is responsible for serviceability of PEB contents?
   Once material is in the bin, it is Navy owned. Contractor is responsible for shelf-life management plan. If non-shelf-life material is deemed to be non-conforming, the bin is

suspended, material is disposed, and new material ordered prior to the bin going back in service.

- (5) Is the contractor responsible for all receiving inspections for DLA sourced items? All material (VSI And DSI) is delivered to the contractor's central staging area (CSA). Contractor performs kind, count, condition check (and returns DSI via SDR if wrong). The COR visits the CSA the following day to perform a sample of the entire manifest. The COR posts a monthly Material Receipt Acknowledgement (MRA) in FedMall.
- (6) Who determines initial PEB range and depth for newly introduced inventory? The Navy/FRC customer.
- (7) Who determines mode and priority of shipments from sources of supply and who is responsible for cost?

The mode and priority of shipments is FOB Destination. All shipping is born by contractor and should be part of the VSI price.

(8) Will the government provide the contractor network access (hard wired or Wi-Fi) which provides internet access?

No network access is provided. Government furnished CSA is wired for connectivity, but the contractor must pay for and provide their own network service and all networking devices.

(9) Would the government consider releasing raw historical consumption data or at least summary statistics (e.g., variance of annual estimated quantity) to further assist with material planning for VSI material?

Historical requisition/demand data has already been provided for DLA sourced material in attachment 13b. Current vendor sourced historical demand has also already been provided in attachment 13a.

(10) Is it the government's intention to provide the contractor proactive insight into maintenance activities which may affect material consumption during contract performance?

I. We need to confirm for the proposal the process to collaborate with the DLA to develop demand forecasts.

II. Our material planning solution should be based upon qualitative contractor demand models which are augmented by Navy maintenance planning insights and then communicated to the DLA to assist with DSI material.

FRC belief is that historical 5-year demand history is best picture, however, FRC is working collectively with NAVSUP to provide a more accurate future picture.

(11) Are there any FRC or building restrictions the contractor should be aware of for material handling equipment?

FRCSW; some buildings don't have elevator access and some buildings have turn styles.

(12) Can the DLA please confirm when the point of sale occurs for VSI material utilized to fill bins? Does the point of sale occur when material is placed into FRC bins? For VSI, point of sale is when the material is placed in the bin. Invoices are submitted on the first day of the following month.

(13) Can the Government please provide insight into how much government owned material, if any, for kitting and remote sites may transfer to the new contractor during transition?

I. Note that all DSI (DLA Sourced Material) will be ordered on a JIT basis to fill bins. This will result in a massive amount of transactions to be submitted to PIEE/Fedmall and will dramatically affect head count.

It is not anticipated that DSI material for kitting or remote sites will be held over. However, DLA will provide a list of any kits not delivered during transition. In addition, if any material is held for remote sites, DLA will provide during transition.

- (14) Can the Government please clarify if there is consistency across all bin locations at each FRC on Overflow Storage? Also, if there is overflow storage is it considered a Point of Sale at that time or only when it is placed in the bar-coded bins?
  There is overflow because of the size of the part. Parts are too big for current bin set up. The only overflow is what doesn't fit in primary bin due to part size. Point of sale is when material goes into the bin at the shop.
- (15) Can the Government provide dimensions and existing configuration for each on-base site as well as the contractor provided facility off-base for FRC-E? Also, can the Government provide dimensions and configuration of available facilities at all satellite locations?

FRCE off-site is up to contractor to find and propose. GFEE attachment lists approximate sq footage for FRCSE and FRCSW. Pictures will be shared of those spaces. Please contact the DLA program manager if you need the pictures as they cannot be posted publicly.

(16) Can the Government identify responsibility for maintaining the Golf carts? Are the Golf carts allowed inside any of the buildings? Are hand carts available for contractor use to transport parts within the buildings?

Government maintains carts (through DLA), carts not allowed in buildings at FRCSW, but carts are allowed at FRCSE. Handcarts are not provided by Government and should be provided by contractor.

(17) Please update appendix for satellite staffing requirements. Also, there was a discussion pertaining to vehicles to support satellite sites. Can the Government provide the number of vehicles being used on the existing contract and if those vehicles are Government or Contractor provided?

Appendix B has been updated for satellite staffing requirements. Vehicles are contractor owned, and it's up to the contractor to determine the appropriate number of vehicles to service the remote sites.

(18) What date does the government anticipate the contract effective date occurring? If the incumbent's contract ends in October 2024, and Transition is indicated as 6 months (180 days) from contract effective date, would the contract effective date be April 2024? Additionally, the RFP references "IOC" and "FOC." Would the Government's desired FOC date be October 2024? Does the Government have a preference on an IOC

date or is that at the offeror's discretion?

If the above assumption is correct, the RFP indicates that bid submissions are due 6/8/2023, and pricing is to be held for 180 calendar days per section L.2., that point in time would be 12/8/2023. This would leave a gap of 4 months between requested pricing validity and the contract effective date. For context, this information is important to offerors as they engage their supply base for quotations since they would need to secure supplier pricing validity dates in excess of 180 days to match the contract effective date.

The Government anticipates having the 6-month transition period begin on 4/1/24. Pricing does need to be held for 180 calendar days per section L.2. However, one month prior to the start of the transition period, an addendum will be issued to incorporate Economic Price Adjustment (EPA).

- (19) In order to ensure suppliers bid the necessary quantity and are able to support the demand levels expected by the Government, additional insight into the kitting demand levels would be required. Please advise if the FRC EAD qty's are inclusive of kitting or exclusive of kitting? In some cases, if the max number of kits are ordered, this would require the contractor to order significantly more parts than the FRC EAD qty's. Additionally, please advise if the kit material would be included in the H-904 Excess Contract Inventory clause for the buyback of material in addition to the Total FRC EAD. FRC EAD quantities are inclusive of kitting. A column has been created in RFP Attachment 1 "Core List of Items (Market Basket)" to reflect kitting demand. The total EAD has also been updated to include this kitting demand. The H-904 Excess Contract Inventory clause does not apply to kit material.
- (20) Regarding H-904 Excess Contract Inventory, assuming that a similar clause exists on the current Gen III contract, this would imply that the Government may purchase a portion the incumbent contractor's material inventory before the contract expires. Does the Government intend to make said material available for purchase by the contractor awarded Gen IV (assuming it's a different contractor than the incumbent)? For context, this would be the most seamless way for the incoming contractor to procure a portion of the commercial inventory needed to ensure a successful transition.

Assuming a change in contractor from the incumbent to a new IPV, the Government would intend to make excess inventory available for purchase by the contractor who is awarded GEN IV.

(21) Will the Government please confirm that the required Small Business Participation Past Performance documentation (Include at a minimum, the two most recent Summary Subcontract Reports (SSR)) for each referenced contract will be excluded from page limits?

The SSRs will be excluded from page limits.

(22) Can the Government clarify the descriptive information in the pricing worksheet? Recommend that all information available Appendix B be incorporated into the pricing worksheet to eliminate any potential confusion on site requirements. All details have been removed from the pricing matrix, and a note has been added that references back to PWS Appendix B. Appendix B is the source for number of NSNs/bins/and delivery requirements.

(23) Can the Government revise the market basket pricing template to support separate pricing for FRC Site distinct from WWD pricing? Note that these have different packaging and marking requirements per the PWS.

The market basket pricing template will remain setup as it currently is within the RFP. There will be no revision to separate FRC sites pricing distinct from WWD pricing. The Government requests that the offeror provide their best price that would be applicable to both VSI and WWD for those specific items.

- (24) Effective February 20, 2023 DLA Aviation is discontinuing the Qualified Suppliers List (QSL) program for manufacturers and distributors of the following commodities:
  - Class 2 Threaded Fasteners (FSC 5305, 5306, 5307, and 5310)
  - Class 3 Threaded Fasteners (FSC 5305, 5306, 5307, and 5310)
  - Quick Release Pins (FSC 5315)
  - Rivets (FSC 5320)

Therefore, is RFP clause H02 - COMPONENT QUALIFIED PRODUCTS LIST (QPL) / QUALIFIED MANUFACTURERS LIST (QML) not applicable to the National Stock Number items in this solicitation that fall within the above listed commodities? QSL program is distinct from the QPL/QML requirement. QPLs are still valid. For AMC/AMSC 1T (QPLs), offerors must supply such component item(s) only from sources currently qualified on the applicable QPLs/QMLs.

(25) Can we include the complete certificate of registration outside of page count given that most certificates will run 4-6 pages within a current max of 10 pages allowed for Subfactor 2 QA?

Yes. ISO certification are not subject to page limitations.

- (26) In the introduction to Section L, the instructions to offerors indicate that HBCU should be selected for appropriate PRIME offerors only. The chart in section L.10.4.1.6 lists HBCU/MI as a required socioeconomic category for an offeror to Identify the percentage of work performed by small businesses as a portion of TCV and dollar value. Is it the Government's intention to consider HBCU/MI as a required socioeconomic category to propose as part of their overall small business approach? The instructions to Section L state for the PRIME to select all applicable boxes. The chart in 10.4.1.6 is for the PRIME to identify the percentage of work performed by small businesses that qualify in multiple socio- economic categories that may be counted in each category. The sum of all percentages need not equal 100%. All percentages shall use TCV as a baseline. Not all categories are required, but the Government does consider HBCU/MI as an applicable small business type.
- (27) HBCU/MI is not listed as a relevant socioeconomic category for offerors to address in their Small Business Subcontracting Plan but is listed in the chart in section L.10.4.1.5.6 for offerors to attribute identify percentages of work as compared to TCV. Is it the

Government's intention to consider HBCU/MI as a required socioeconomic category to propose as part of their overall small business approach?

The chart in 10.4.1.6 is for the PRIME to identify the percentage of work performed by small businesses that qualify in multiple socio- economic categories that may be counted in each category. The sum of all percentages need not equal 100%. All percentages shall use TCV as a baseline. Not all categories are required, but the Government does consider HBCU/MI as an applicable small business type.

(28) When will the solicitation be available on the World Wide Web Industrial Capabilities Assessment Program (WICAP) website?

The solicitation for the applicable surge items has been loaded on the WICAP website.

- (29) Shall the WICAP produced electronic Capability Assessment Plan (eCAP) be included as an attachment to Volume 3, outside of page count?Yes, the eCAP should be included as an attachment to Volume 3, outside of page count.
- (30) How will the investment and surcharges presented in the eCAP be included in the total evaluated price IAW Procurement Note M07 section (3)?As there is no separate pricing for applicable surge items, there should only be one total evaluated price (TEP). The Government requests that the offeror provide their best
- (31) In which volume would the Government like to see the proposal author information? Would it be acceptable to include a comprehensive list of proposal authors in Volume 1, Completed RFP?

price that would be applicable to both VSI and applicable surge items.

Yes, it would be acceptable to include a comprehensive list of proposal authors in Volume 1, Completed RFP.

(32) The table embedded in paragraph L.7.1 shows that each of the subfactors in Volume 3 is limited to 10 pages each. Yet, paragraph L.7.4 states Volume 3 is limited to a total of 50 pages. Are we correct in assuming that Volume 3 shall not exceed 50 pages and none of the five subfactors shall exceed 10 pages? The Offeror's response to Volume 3, Subfactor 1 would benefit from additional page allocation within the 50-page limitation. We request that the Government consider this option.

As stated within Section L of the RFP, it is correct to assume that Volume 4 shall not exceed 50 pages and none of the five subfactors shall exceed 10 pages.

(33) The RFP CLIN Pricing (Page 6), CLIN 0001 "Commercially Sourced Material" requires delineated pricing by region (FRC-E, FRC-SE, FRC-SW) for the Base Period (Contact Years 1-3). Attachment 1 "Commercially Sourced Material" requires pricing for a combined total EAD (both FRC and Worldwide) for contract year 1. Attachment 1 does not delineate EAD by region (FRC-E, FRC-SE, FRC-SW), please provide further guidance for completing the RFP CLIN Pricing.

Page 6 has been updated to remove the sub-CLINs under CLIN 0001. The total reflected on page 6 is now year 1 of commercial sourced material and should match the total on attachment 1, column R. The note on page 7 explains that the commercial sourced

material is subject to EPA.